

Sub-Riemannian Brownian motion, functional inequalities on path space and horizontal Ricci curvature

Anton Thalmaier
Université du Luxembourg

(Joint work with *Li-Juan Cheng* and *Erlend Grong*)

SRGI conference:
Sub-Riemannian Geometry and Interactions

Sorbonne Université, Paris

Sept 7–11, 2020

Outline

- 1 Sub-Riemannian structures
- 2 Ricci curvature bounds and gradient estimates
- 3 Ricci curvature and analysis on path space
- 4 Analysis on path space over sub-Riemannian manifolds
- 5 Ricci curvature bounds in sub-Riemannian geometry

I. Sub-Riemannian structures

- (M, H, g_H) where
 - M smooth manifold, $\dim M = n$
 - $H \subsetneq TM$ subbundle (“horizontal directions”), $\text{rank } H = m$
 - g_H fiberwise inner product on H

I. Sub-Riemannian structures

- (M, H, g_H) where
 - M smooth manifold, $\dim M = n$
 - $H \subsetneq TM$ subbundle (“horizontal directions”), $\text{rank } H = m$
 - g_H fiberwise inner product on H

Sub-Riemannian geometry

$\hat{=}$ geometry intrinsically associated to (M, H, g_H) .

I. Sub-Riemannian structures

- (M, H, g_H) where
 - M smooth manifold, $\dim M = n$
 - $H \subsetneq TM$ subbundle (“horizontal directions”), $\text{rank } H = m$
 - g_H fiberwise inner product on H

Sub-Riemannian geometry

$\hat{=}$ geometry intrinsically associated to (M, H, g_H) .

- Let

$$d_H(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y, \dot{\gamma}(t) \in H_{\gamma(t)} \forall t \right\}$$

I. Sub-Riemannian structures

- (M, H, g_H) where
 - M smooth manifold, $\dim M = n$
 - $H \subsetneq TM$ subbundle (“horizontal directions”), $\text{rank } H = m$
 - g_H fiberwise inner product on H

Sub-Riemannian geometry

$\hat{=}$ geometry intrinsically associated to (M, H, g_H) .

- Let

$$d_H(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y, \dot{\gamma}(t) \in H_{\gamma(t)} \forall t \right\}$$

- H bracket generating (i.e. $\text{Lie}(H)(x) = T_x M$ for each $x \in M$)
 $\implies (M, d_H)$ metric space

- Canonical sub-Riemannian Laplacian?

$$\Delta^H = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2 + Z \quad (\text{locally})$$

A_1, \dots, A_m local orthonormal frame of H ,
 Z first order term (horizontal vector field)

- Canonical sub-Riemannian Laplacian?

$$\Delta^H = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2 + Z \quad (\text{locally})$$

A_1, \dots, A_m local orthonormal frame of H ,
 Z first order term (horizontal vector field)

In general, no canonical choice for Z !

- Canonical sub-Riemannian Laplacian?

$$\Delta^H = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2 + Z \quad (\text{locally})$$

A_1, \dots, A_m local orthonormal frame of H ,
 Z first order term (horizontal vector field)

In general, no canonical choice for Z !

- Some notation:

- ① Consider

$$\sharp^H: T^*M \rightarrow H \subset TM, \quad \langle \sharp^H \alpha, v \rangle_{g_H} := \alpha(v),$$

for $\alpha \in T_x^*M$, $v \in H_x$, $x \in M$.

Note that $\ker \sharp^H = \text{Ann } H$.

- Canonical sub-Riemannian Laplacian?

$$\Delta^H = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2 + Z \quad (\text{locally})$$

A_1, \dots, A_m local orthonormal frame of H ,
 Z first order term (horizontal vector field)

In general, no canonical choice for Z !

- Some notation:

- 1 Consider

$$\sharp^H: T^*M \rightarrow H \subset TM, \quad \langle \sharp^H \alpha, v \rangle_{g_H} := \alpha(v),$$

for $\alpha \in T_x^*M$, $v \in H_x$, $x \in M$.

Note that $\ker \sharp^H = \text{Ann } H$.

- 2 The map \sharp^H induces a (**degenerate**) co-metric g_H^* on T^*M via

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{g_H^*} = \langle \sharp^H \alpha, \sharp^H \beta \rangle_{g_H}.$$

- Let L be a second order partial differential operator on M . Its symbol $\sigma(L)$ is the symmetric, bilinear 2-tensor on T^*M determined by the relation

$$\sigma(L)(df, dh) = \frac{1}{2}(L(fh) - fLh - hLf), \quad f, h \in C^\infty(M).$$

- Let L be a second order partial differential operator on M . Its symbol $\sigma(L)$ is the symmetric, bilinear 2-tensor on T^*M determined by the relation

$$\sigma(L)(df, dh) = \frac{1}{2}(L(fh) - fLh - hLf), \quad f, h \in C^\infty(M).$$

- A second order PDO L (without constant term) is called **sub-Laplacian** with respect to (M, H, g_H) if

$$\sigma(L) = g_H^*.$$

We write $L = \Delta^H$.

① **Example 1** Let μ be a (smooth) volume measure on M . Then

$$\Delta^H f = \operatorname{div}_\mu \sharp^H df$$

is a sub-Laplacian.

① **Example 1** Let μ be a (smooth) volume measure on M . Then

$$\Delta^H f = \operatorname{div}_\mu \#^H df$$

is a sub-Laplacian.

② **Example 2** Assume \exists Riemannian metric g on M such that

$$g|_H = g_H$$

Let

$$TM = H \oplus V, \quad g = g_H \oplus g_V \quad (\text{where } V := H^\perp).$$

- 1 **Example 1** Let μ be a (smooth) volume measure on M . Then

$$\Delta^H f = \operatorname{div}_\mu \#^H df$$

is a sub-Laplacian.

- 2 **Example 2** Assume \exists Riemannian metric g on M such that

$$g|_H = g_H$$

Let

$$TM = H \oplus V, \quad g = g_H \oplus g_V \quad (\text{where } V := H^\perp).$$

Define

$$\nabla^H f = \operatorname{pr}_H \nabla f \equiv \#^H df$$

and let Δ^H be the generator of the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(f, h) := - \int_M \langle \nabla^H f, \nabla^H h \rangle_H \, d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

Then $\Delta^H := -(\nabla^H)^* \nabla^H = \operatorname{trace}_H \nabla^2$ is a sub-Laplacian.

In the situation of the last example:

- Canonical variation of the metric

$$\varepsilon > 0 : \quad g_\varepsilon := g_H \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_V$$

$\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: sub-Riemannian limit

$$d_\varepsilon \rightarrow d_o = d_H \quad (\text{sub-Riemannian distance})$$

In the situation of the last example:

- Canonical variation of the metric

$$\varepsilon > 0 : \quad g_\varepsilon := g_H \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_V$$

$\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: sub-Riemannian limit

$$d_\varepsilon \rightarrow d_0 = d_H \quad (\text{sub-Riemannian distance})$$

In the limit only horizontal curves have finite length.

In the situation of the last example:

- Canonical variation of the metric

$$\varepsilon > 0 : \quad g_\varepsilon := g_H \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_V$$

$\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: sub-Riemannian limit

$$d_\varepsilon \rightarrow d_0 = d_H \quad (\text{sub-Riemannian distance})$$

In the limit only horizontal curves have finite length.

- Observation

$$\text{Ric}^{g_\varepsilon}(u, u) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} -\infty \quad \text{for any horizontal unit vector } u$$

Natural connections on a sub-Riemannian manifold (M, H, g_H)

- Would like to have a connection ∇ on M which is **horizontally compatible** with (H, g_H) in the sense that the horizontal subbundle H is preserved under parallel transport, as well as its metric g_H

Natural connections on a sub-Riemannian manifold (M, H, g_H)

- Would like to have a connection ∇ on M which is **horizontally compatible** with (H, g_H) in the sense that the horizontal subbundle H is preserved under parallel transport, as well as its metric g_H
- Actually, a metric **partial connection**

$$\nabla: \Gamma(H) \times \Gamma(H) \rightarrow \Gamma(H), \quad (A, B) \mapsto \nabla_A B,$$

will be sufficient.

Natural connections on a sub-Riemannian manifold (M, H, g_H)

- Would like to have a connection ∇ on M which is **horizontally compatible** with (H, g_H) in the sense that the horizontal subbundle H is preserved under parallel transport, as well as its metric g_H
- Actually, a metric **partial connection**

$$\nabla: \Gamma(H) \times \Gamma(H) \rightarrow \Gamma(H), \quad (A, B) \mapsto \nabla_A B,$$

will be sufficient.

- In terms of the corresponding **horizontal Hessian**,

$$\nabla^2 f \equiv \text{Hess } f \in \Gamma(H^* \otimes H^*), \quad (\nabla^2 f)(A, B) = ABf - (\nabla_A B)f,$$

the associated **sub-Laplacian** Δ^H is given by

$$\Delta^H f = \text{trace}_H \nabla^2 f, \quad f \in C^\infty(M).$$

- Note that horizontally compatible connections ∇ will always have torsion \mathbf{T} :

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A - [A, B] = \mathbf{T}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(H).$$

- Note that horizontally compatible connections ∇ will always have torsion \mathbf{T} :

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A - [A, B] = \mathbf{T}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(H).$$

- The map $(A, B) \mapsto \mathbf{T}(A, B) \bmod H$ does not depend on the choice of ∇ .

- Note that horizontally compatible connections ∇ will always have torsion \mathbf{T} :

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A - [A, B] = \mathbf{T}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(H).$$

- The map $(A, B) \mapsto \mathbf{T}(A, B) \bmod H$ does not depend on the choice of ∇ .
- A horizontally compatible connection ∇ is uniquely determined by its torsion \mathbf{T} .

- Note that horizontally compatible connections ∇ will always have torsion \mathbf{T} :

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A - [A, B] = \mathbf{T}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(H).$$

- The map $(A, B) \mapsto \mathbf{T}(A, B) \bmod H$ does not depend on the choice of ∇ .
- A horizontally compatible connection ∇ is uniquely determined by its torsion \mathbf{T} .
- Let V be a choice of complement to H . There exists a unique horizontally compatible partial connection ∇ with

$$\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$$

Example Let again (M, g) and $g_H = g|_H$. Then $TM = H \oplus_{\perp} V$ and

$$g = g_H \oplus g_V$$

Denote by ∇^g the Levi-Civita connection on M, g .

- (**Bott connection**) There is a canonical connection ∇ preserving the decomposition $TM = H \oplus V$:

$$\nabla_X Y = \begin{cases} \text{pr}_H(\nabla_X^g Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(H), \\ \text{pr}_H([X, Y]), & X \in \Gamma(V), Y \in \Gamma(H), \\ \text{pr}_V([X, Y]), & X \in \Gamma(H), Y \in \Gamma(V), \\ \text{pr}_V(\nabla_X^g Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(V), \end{cases}$$

Example Let again (M, g) and $g_H = g|_H$. Then $TM = H \oplus_{\perp} V$ and

$$g = g_H \oplus g_V$$

Denote by ∇^g the Levi-Civita connection on M, g .

- (**Bott connection**) There is a canonical connection ∇ preserving the decomposition $TM = H \oplus V$:

$$\nabla_X Y = \begin{cases} \text{pr}_H(\nabla_X^g Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(H), \\ \text{pr}_H([X, Y]), & X \in \Gamma(V), Y \in \Gamma(H), \\ \text{pr}_V([X, Y]), & X \in \Gamma(H), Y \in \Gamma(V), \\ \text{pr}_V(\nabla_X^g Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(V), \end{cases}$$

- $\nabla g = 0$
- its torsion $T^{\nabla}(X, Y)$ is vertical for X and Y horizontal, and zero if either X or Y is vertical

Standing assumptions

- Let V be a choice of a complement to H in (M, H, g_H) .
Let pr_H and pr_V be the corresponding projections. Write ∇ for the unique partial connection with $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$.

Standing assumptions

- Let V be a choice of a complement to H in (M, H, g_H) .
Let pr_H and pr_V be the corresponding projections. Write ∇ for the unique partial connection with $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$.
- We shall extend

$$\nabla_X Y, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(H),$$

to an affine connection on M as follows:

$$\nabla_X Y = \begin{cases} \text{pr}_H[X, Y] & \text{if } X \in \Gamma(V), Y \in \Gamma(H) \\ \text{pr}_V[X, Y] & \text{if } X \in \Gamma(H), Y \in \Gamma(V) \end{cases}$$

while ∇ on V can be an arbitrary partial connection on V in the direction of V .

Standing assumptions

- Let V be a choice of a complement to H in (M, H, g_H) .
Let pr_H and pr_V be the corresponding projections. Write ∇ for the unique partial connection with $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$.
- We shall extend

$$\nabla_X Y, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(H),$$

to an affine connection on M as follows:

$$\nabla_X Y = \begin{cases} \text{pr}_H[X, Y] & \text{if } X \in \Gamma(V), Y \in \Gamma(H) \\ \text{pr}_V[X, Y] & \text{if } X \in \Gamma(H), Y \in \Gamma(V) \end{cases}$$

while ∇ on V can be an arbitrary partial connection on V in the direction of V .

- Connections of this form satisfy the following properties:
 - ⓪ both H and V are parallel with respect to ∇
 - ⓪ $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$
 - ⓪ $\mathbf{T}(H, V) = 0$.

Conversely, any connection satisfying (i)-(iii) is of this form.

- (Metric preserving complement V) For simplicity, assume that

$$(L_Z \text{pr}_H^* g_H)(X, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in \Gamma(V) \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(H)$$

where L_Z denotes the Lie derivative with respect to Z .

- (Metric preserving complement V) For simplicity, assume that

$$(L_Z \text{pr}_H^* g_H)(X, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in \Gamma(V) \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(H)$$

where L_Z denotes the Lie derivative with respect to Z .

- Let $\text{Ric}: TM \rightarrow TM$ be the Ricci tensor with respect to ∇ :

$$\text{Ric}(v) = \text{trace}_H R^\nabla(v, \cdot) \cdot$$

The object of our interest is

$$\text{Ric}^H \in \Gamma(H^* \otimes H), \quad \text{Ric}^H := \text{Ric}|_H \quad (\text{horizontal Ricci})$$

- (Metric preserving complement V) For simplicity, assume that

$$(L_Z \text{pr}_H^* g_H)(X, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in \Gamma(V) \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(H)$$

where L_Z denotes the Lie derivative with respect to Z .

- Let $\text{Ric}: TM \rightarrow TM$ be the Ricci tensor with respect to ∇ :

$$\text{Ric}(v) = \text{trace}_H R^\nabla(v, \cdot) \times$$

The object of our interest is

$$\text{Ric}^H \in \Gamma(H^* \otimes H), \quad \text{Ric}^H := \text{Ric}|_H \quad (\text{horizontal Ricci})$$

- We have

$$\text{Ric}(v) = \text{pr}_H \text{Ric}^H \text{pr}_H v, \quad v \in TM,$$

where $\text{pr}_H: TM \rightarrow H$ is the projection with kernel V .

- **Example**

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and $g_H = g|_H$ such that $TM = H \oplus V$, and

$$g = g_H \oplus g_V \quad \text{and} \quad g_\varepsilon = g_H \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_V, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then

$$\text{Ric}_{g_\varepsilon}(X, X) = \text{Ric}^H(X, X) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \langle J^2 X, X \rangle_H, \quad X \in \Gamma(H),$$

where for $Z \in \Gamma(V)$, $J_Z \in \Gamma(\text{End} TM)$ is defined by

$$\langle J_Z X, Y \rangle_{g_H} = \langle Z, T^\nabla(X, Y) \rangle_{g_V},$$

and, for Z_1, \dots, Z_r any local vertical frame,

$$J^2 := \sum_{i=1}^r J_{Z_i} J_{Z_i}.$$

- (*Laplacian*) For a compatible connection ∇ as above let

$$\Delta^H = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be the subelliptic Laplacian (the trace of the Hessian ∇^2 is taken over H with respect to the inner product g_H)

- (*Laplacian*) For a compatible connection ∇ as above let

$$\Delta^H = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be the subelliptic Laplacian (the trace of the Hessian ∇^2 is taken over H with respect to the inner product g_H)

- (*Sub-Riemannian Brownian*) A sub-Riemannian Brownian motion is a diffusion process X_t with generator Δ^H

- *(Laplacian)* For a compatible connection ∇ as above let

$$\Delta^H = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be the subelliptic Laplacian (the trace of the Hessian ∇^2 is taken over H with respect to the inner product g_H)

- *(Sub-Riemannian Brownian)* A **sub-Riemannian Brownian motion** is a diffusion process X_t with generator Δ^H
- *(Stochastic development)* Let $X_0 = x$ then

$$dX_t = //_{0,t} \circ dB_t \quad \text{or} \quad dB_t = //_{0,t}^{-1} \circ dX_t$$

where B_t is a (classical) Brownian motion in H_x and

$$//_{0,t} := U_t \circ U_0^{-1} : H_x M \rightarrow H_{X_t} M$$

is **stochastic parallel transport along** of horizontal vectors along X (by construction isometries with respect to g_H).

Here U_t is the horizontal lift of X_t to the orthonormal frame bundle $O(H)$ over M .

Functional inequalities

- Consider the semigroup generated by Δ^H :

$$P_t f = e^{t\Delta^H} f$$

We have

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}}], \quad x \in M.$$

Functional inequalities

- Consider the semigroup generated by Δ^H :

$$P_t f = e^{t\Delta^H} f$$

We have

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}}], \quad x \in M.$$

- **Question:** How is Ric^H related to functional inequalities for P_t ?

II. Ricci curvature bounds and gradient estimates

II. Ricci curvature bounds and gradient estimates

- Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold and

$$L = \Delta + Z \quad \text{with } Z \in \Gamma(TM)$$

II. Ricci curvature bounds and gradient estimates

- Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold and

$$L = \Delta + Z \quad \text{with } Z \in \Gamma(TM)$$

- (Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor)

$$\text{Ric}^Z = \text{Ric} - \nabla Z$$

where $\text{Ric}^Z(X, Y) := \text{Ric}(X, Y) - \langle \nabla_X Z, Y \rangle$

Theorem (classical probabilistic representations)

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$ and $u(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ be the (minimal) solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = Lu, \quad u|_{t=0} = f.$$

Theorem (classical probabilistic representations)

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$ and $u(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ be the (minimal) solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = Lu, \quad u|_{t=0} = f.$$

- (Semigroup formula) Then $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}}]$.

Theorem (classical probabilistic representations)

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$ and $u(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ be the (minimal) solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = Lu, \quad u|_{t=0} = f.$$

- (Semigroup formula) Then $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}}]$.
- (Derivative formula) If $f \in C_b^1(M)$ and Ric^Z bounded below,

$$(\nabla P_t f)(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[Q_t //_t^{-1} \nabla f(X_t^x)\right]$$

where the random transformations $Q_t \in \text{End}(T_x M)$ are defined as solution to the pathwise ODE

$$dQ_t = -Q_t \text{Ric}_{//_t}^Z dt, \quad Q_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M}.$$

Here

$$\text{Ric}_{//_t}^Z := //_t^{-1} \circ \text{Ric}_{X_t}^Z \circ //_t \in \text{End}(T_x M)$$

is the equivariant representation of Ric^Z .

- In particular, if

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(v, v) \geq K|v|^2, \quad v \in TM,$$

for some constant K , then

$$|Q_t| \leq e^{-Kt}$$

and

$$\text{(gradient estimate)} \quad |\nabla P_t f| \leq e^{-Kt} P_t |\nabla f|, \quad f \in C_b^1(M).$$

- In particular, if

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(v, v) \geq K|v|^2, \quad v \in TM,$$

for some constant K , then

$$|Q_t| \leq e^{-Kt}$$

and

$$\text{(gradient estimate)} \quad |\nabla P_t f| \leq e^{-Kt} P_t |\nabla f|, \quad f \in C_b^1(M).$$

- Actually, for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}(v, v) \geq K|v|^2, \quad v \in TM.$

- $\text{(gradient estimate)} \quad |\nabla P_t f| \leq e^{-Kt} P_t |\nabla f|, \quad f \in C_b^1(M).$

Well-known and classical: Let K be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

Well-known and classical: Let K be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

- **(gradient estimate)** for $p \in [1, \infty[$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^p \leq e^{-\rho K t} P_t |\nabla f|^p;$$

Well-known and classical: Let K be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

- **(gradient estimate)** for $p \in [1, \infty[$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^p \leq e^{-\rho K t} P_t |\nabla f|^p;$$

- **(Poincaré inequality)** for $p \in (1, 2]$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\frac{p}{4(p-1)} \left(P_t f^2 - (P_t f^{2/p})^p \right) \leq \frac{1 - e^{-2Kt}}{2K} P_t |\nabla f|^2;$$

Well-known and classical: Let K be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

- **(gradient estimate)** for $p \in [1, \infty[$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^p \leq e^{-\rho K t} P_t |\nabla f|^p;$$

- **(Poincaré inequality)** for $p \in (1, 2]$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\frac{p}{4(p-1)} \left(P_t f^2 - (P_t f^{2/p})^p \right) \leq \frac{1 - e^{-2Kt}}{2K} P_t |\nabla f|^2;$$

- **(log-Sobolev inequality)** for all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$P_t(f^2 \log f^2) - (P_t f^2) \log(P_t f^2) \leq \frac{2(1 - e^{-2Kt})}{K} P_t |\nabla f|^2.$$

Well-known and classical: Let K be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

- **(gradient estimate)** for $p \in [1, \infty[$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^p \leq e^{-\rho K t} P_t |\nabla f|^p;$$

- **(Poincaré inequality)** for $p \in (1, 2]$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\frac{p}{4(p-1)} \left(P_t f^2 - (P_t f^{2/p})^p \right) \leq \frac{1 - e^{-2Kt}}{2K} P_t |\nabla f|^2;$$

- **(log-Sobolev inequality)** for all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$P_t(f^2 \log f^2) - (P_t f^2) \log(P_t f^2) \leq \frac{2(1 - e^{-2Kt})}{K} P_t |\nabla f|^2.$$

Many other equivalent statements, e.g., transportation-cost inequalities; convexity properties of the entropy; Wang's dimension-free Harnack inequalities; Wang's log-Harnack inequalities, ...

Comparison with the sub-Riemannian case

- Example (Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^3)

$$X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}^3), \quad [X, Y] = Z, \quad [X, Z] = [Y, Z] = 0$$

$$\mathbb{H} = \text{span}(X, Y), \quad V = \mathbb{R} \cdot Z$$

Let

$$\Delta^H := X^2 + Y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad P_t f = (e^{t\Delta^H})f$$

Comparison with the sub-Riemannian case

- Example (Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^3)

$$X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}^3), \quad [X, Y] = Z, \quad [X, Z] = [Y, Z] = 0$$

$$\mathbb{H} = \text{span}(X, Y), \quad V = \mathbb{R} \cdot Z$$

Let

$$\Delta^H := X^2 + Y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad P_t f = (e^{t\Delta^H})f$$

Theorem (Hong-Quan Li, 2006)

$$\exists C > 0, \quad |\nabla^H P_t f|_{g_H} \leq C P_t |\nabla^H f|_{g_H}, \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{H}^3),$$

where $\nabla^H f = \text{pr}_H \nabla f$.

The constant C must be strictly larger than 1!

Boundedness of Ric

The problem of characterizing boundedness of Ric in Riemannian geometry has been solved by A. Naber via **analysis on path space**:

$|\text{Ric}| \leq K$ (i.e. $-K \leq \text{Ric} \leq K$ for some constant $K \geq 0$)

\iff certain functional inequalities on path space

III. Ricci curvature and analysis on path space

- For fixed $T > 0$, let $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}.$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on W^T .

III. Ricci curvature and analysis on path space

- For fixed $T > 0$, let $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}.$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on W^T .

- Denote

$$X_{[0,T]} = \{X_t : 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

III. Ricci curvature and analysis on path space

- For fixed $T > 0$, let $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}.$$

be the **class of smooth cylindrical functions** on W^T .

- Denote

$$X_{[0,T]} = \{X_t : 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

- For $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$, the **intrinsic gradient** is defined as

$$D_t^{\prime\prime} F(X_{[0,T]}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} //_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla^i f(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where ∇^i denotes the gradient with respect to the i -th component.

Theorem [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

Theorem [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ($K \geq 0$):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$;

Theorem [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ($K \geq 0$):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$;
- (Gradient inequality on path space) for $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[|D_0'' F| + K \int_0^T e^{Kr} |D_r'' F| dr \right].$$

Theorem [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ($K \geq 0$):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$;
- (Gradient inequality on path space) for $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[|D_0^{//} F| + K \int_0^T e^{Kr} |D_r^{//} F| dr \right].$$

- (L^2 gradient inequality on path space) for $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right|^2 \leq e^{KT} \mathbb{E}^x \left[|D_0^{//} F|^2 + K \int_0^T e^{K(r-T)} |D_r^{//} F|^2 dr \right].$$

Theorem [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ($K \geq 0$):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$;
- (Gradient inequality on path space) for $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[|D_0'' F| + K \int_0^T e^{Kr} |D_r'' F| dr \right].$$

- (L^2 gradient inequality on path space) for $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right|^2 \leq e^{KT} \mathbb{E}^x \left[|D_0'' F|^2 + K \int_0^T e^{K(r-T)} |D_r'' F|^2 dr \right].$$

Important observation It is sufficient to check the estimates for very special $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$. Namely:

Theorem [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ($K \geq 0$):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$;
- (Gradient inequality on path space) for $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[|D_0^{//} F| + K \int_0^T e^{Kr} |D_r^{//} F| dr \right].$$

- (L^2 gradient inequality on path space) for $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right|^2 \leq e^{KT} \mathbb{E}^x \left[|D_0^{//} F|^2 + K \int_0^T e^{K(r-T)} |D_r^{//} F|^2 dr \right].$$

Important observation It is sufficient to check the estimates for very special $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$. Namely:

- for $F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = f(X_t^x)$, and
- for 2-point cylindrical functions of the form

$$F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{2} f(X_t^x)$$

From this observation, equivalence of the following two items follows:

- (i) $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$ for $K \geq 0$;
- (ii) for $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\nabla P_t f|^2 &\leq e^{2Kt} P_t |\nabla f|^2 \quad \text{and} \\
 \left| \nabla f - \frac{1}{2} \nabla P_t f \right|^2 &\leq e^{Kt} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \nabla f - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(X_t) \right|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} (e^{Kt} - 1) |\nabla f|^2(X_t) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Path space characterization of pinched curvature

Let $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$. Consider the gradients:

- (*intrinsic gradient*)

$$D_t^{\parallel} F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} \parallel_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x);$$

Path space characterization of pinched curvature

Let $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$. Consider the gradients:

- (intrinsic gradient)

$$D_t^{\parallel} F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} \parallel_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x);$$

- (damped gradient)

$$D_t F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} Q_{t,t_i} \parallel_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x)$$

where $Q_{t,r}$ takes values in the linear automorphisms of $T_{X_t^x} M$ satisfying for fixed $t \geq 0$:

$$\frac{dQ_{t,r}}{dr} = -Q_{t,r} \text{Ric}_{\parallel_{t,r}}^Z, \quad Q_{t,t} = \text{id}; \quad r \geq t$$

Path space characterization of pinched curvature

Let $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$. Consider the gradients:

- (*intrinsic gradient*)

$$D_t^{\prime\prime} F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} //_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x);$$

- (*damped gradient*)

$$D_t F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} Q_{t,t_i} //_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x)$$

where $Q_{t,r}$ takes values in the linear automorphisms of $T_{X_t^x} M$ satisfying for fixed $t \geq 0$:

$$\frac{dQ_{t,r}}{dr} = -Q_{t,r} \text{Ric}_{//_{t,r}}^Z, \quad Q_{t,t} = \text{id}; \quad r \geq t$$

- (*balanced gradient*) For constants $k_1 \leq k_2$ let

$$\bar{D}_t^{\prime\prime} F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} e^{-\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}(t_i-t)} //_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x).$$

Theorem (Path space characterization of pinched curvature)

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $k_1 \leq \text{Ric}^Z \leq k_2$;

(ii) (Gradient estimate) for any $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$,

$$|\nabla_x \mathbb{E} F(X_{[0,T]}^x)| \leq \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_0'' F| + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_0^T e^{-k_1 s} \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_s'' F| ds;$$

Theorem (Path space characterization of pinched curvature)

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $k_1 \leq \text{Ric}^Z \leq k_2$;

(ii) (Gradient estimate) for any $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$,

$$|\nabla_x \mathbb{E} F(X_{[0,T]}^x)| \leq \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_0'' F| + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_0^T e^{-k_1 s} \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_s'' F| ds;$$

(iii) (Log-Sobolev inequality) for any $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ and $t_1 < t_2$ in $[0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} [F^2(X_{[0,T]}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_2}] \log \mathbb{E} [F^2(X_{[0,T]}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_2}] \right] \\ & \quad - \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} [F^2(X_{[0,T]}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] \log \mathbb{E} [F^2(X_{[0,T]}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] \right] \\ & \leq 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(1 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_t^T e^{-k_1(s-t)} ds \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_t'' F|^2 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_t^T e^{-k_1(s-t)} \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_s'' F|^2 ds \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (continuation)

(iv) (Poincaré type inequality) for $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$ and $t_1 < t_2$ in $[0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]})|\mathcal{F}_{t_2}]^2\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]})|\mathcal{F}_{t_1}]^2\right] \\ & \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(1 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_t^T e^{-k_1(s-t)} ds\right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\mathbb{E}|\bar{D}_t // F|^2 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_t^T e^{-k_1(s-t)} \mathbb{E}|\bar{D}_s // F|^2 ds\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

- Let \mathcal{L} be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator defined as generator associated to the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |D_t^{//} F|^2(X_{[0, T]}) dt \right].$$

- Let \mathcal{L} be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator defined as generator associated to the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |D_t^{\prime\prime} F|^2(X_{[0, T]}) dt \right].$$

- The log-Sobolev inequality or Poincaré inequality on path space can be used to derive spectral gap-lower bounds for the operator \mathcal{L} .

- Let \mathcal{L} be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator defined as generator associated to the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |D_t'' F|^2(X_{[0,T]}) dt \right].$$

- The log-Sobolev inequality or Poincaré inequality on path space can be used to derive spectral gap-lower bounds for the operator \mathcal{L} .
- It is well-known that a log-Sobolev inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[F^2 \log F^2] - \mathbb{E}[F^2] \log \mathbb{E}[F^2] \leq 2 H(T, k_1, k_2) \int_0^T |D_t'' F|^2(X_{[0,T]}) dt$$

or a Poincaré inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[(F - \mathbb{E}[F])^2] \leq H(T, k_1, k_2) \int_0^T |D_t'' F|^2(X_{[0,T]}) dt$$

for some explicit bound $H(T, k_1, k_2)$, give the spectral gap lower bound $H(T, k_1, k_2)^{-1}$ for the operator \mathcal{L} .

IV. Analysis on path space over sub-Riemannian manifolds

Let again ∇ be a partial connection on H , extended as above to a compatible connection on M .

Weitzenböck formula

- Consider the corresponding rough sub-Laplacian

$$L(\nabla) := \text{trace}_H \nabla^2$$

(on functions and 1-forms).

IV. Analysis on path space over sub-Riemannian manifolds

Let again ∇ be a partial connection on H , extended as above to a compatible connection on M .

Weitzenböck formula

- Consider the corresponding rough sub-Laplacian

$$L(\nabla) := \text{trace}_H \nabla^2$$

(on functions and 1-forms).

- Would like to have a Weitzenböck type **commutation formula** of the form:

$$dLf = (L - \mathcal{R})df, \quad L = L(\nabla),$$

where $\mathcal{R} \in \Gamma(\text{End}(T^*M))$.

- Let $\hat{\nabla}$ be the **adjoint connection** to ∇ , i.e.

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \mathbf{T}(X, Y).$$

- Let $\hat{\nabla}$ be the **adjoint connection** to ∇ , i.e.

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \mathbf{T}(X, Y).$$

- **Proposition** Let L be a rough sub-Laplacian of a connection on M . There exists a vector bundle endomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$$

such that

$$(L - \mathcal{R})df = dLf, \quad f \in C^\infty(M),$$

if and only if $L = L(\hat{\nabla})$ for some adjoint $\hat{\nabla}$ of a connection ∇ that is compatible with (H, g_H) .

- Let $\hat{\nabla}$ be the **adjoint connection** to ∇ , i.e.

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \mathbf{T}(X, Y).$$

- Proposition** Let L be a rough sub-Laplacian of a connection on M . There exists a vector bundle endomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$$

such that

$$(L - \mathcal{R})df = dLf, \quad f \in C^\infty(M),$$

if and only if $L = L(\hat{\nabla})$ for some adjoint $\hat{\nabla}$ of a connection ∇ that is compatible with (H, g_H) .

- In this case,

$$\mathcal{R} = \text{Ric}^\nabla$$

where for $(\alpha, \nu) \in T^*M \oplus TM$,

$$\text{Ric}^\nabla(\alpha)(\nu) = \text{trace}_H R^\nabla(\cdot, \nu)\alpha(\cdot)$$

- **Proposition** (Weitzenböck formula)

Then, for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$(L(\hat{\nabla}) - \mathcal{R})df = dL(\hat{\nabla})f = dL(\nabla)f = d\Delta^H f$$

Derivative formula

- Define $\hat{Q}_t = \hat{Q}_t(x) \in \text{End}(T_x M)$ by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{Q}_t = -\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\Pi}_t} \hat{Q}_t, \quad \hat{Q}_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M},$$

where $\mathcal{R} = \text{Ric}^\nabla$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\Pi}_t} = \hat{\Pi}_t^{-1} \mathcal{R} \hat{\Pi}_t$.

Derivative formula

- Define $\hat{Q}_t = \hat{Q}_t(x) \in \text{End}(T_x M)$ by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{Q}_t = -\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\mathbb{I}}_t} \hat{Q}_t, \quad \hat{Q}_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M},$$

where $\mathcal{R} = \text{Ric}^\nabla$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\mathbb{I}}_t} = \hat{\mathbb{I}}_t^{-1} \mathcal{R} \hat{\mathbb{I}}_t$.

- (Derivative formula) For $P_t = e^{t\Delta_H}$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$dP_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{Q}_t^* \hat{\mathbb{I}}_t^{-1} df_{X_t(x)}]$$

Integration by parts on path space over a sub-Riemannian manifold

- Let (M, H, g_H) be a sub-Riemannian manifold equipped with a compatible connection ∇ and let

$$L = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be defined as the trace of the Hessian ∇^2 over H with respect to the inner product g_H .

Integration by parts on path space over a sub-Riemannian manifold

- Let (M, H, g_H) be a sub-Riemannian manifold equipped with a compatible connection ∇ and let

$$L = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be defined as the trace of the Hessian ∇^2 over H with respect to the inner product g_H .

- Assume that there is a decomposition $TM = H \oplus V$ such that
 - Ⓐ both H and V are parallel with respect to ∇
 - Ⓑ $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$
 - Ⓒ $\mathbf{T}(H, V) = 0$.

Integration by parts on path space over a sub-Riemannian manifold

- Let (M, H, g_H) be a sub-Riemannian manifold equipped with a compatible connection ∇ and let

$$L = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be defined as the trace of the Hessian ∇^2 over H with respect to the inner product g_H .

- Assume that there is a decomposition $TM = H \oplus V$ such that
 - a both H and V are parallel with respect to ∇
 - b $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$
 - c $\mathbf{T}(H, V) = 0$.

No choice of a Riemannian metric g on M satisfying $g|_H = g_H$ is required.

Assume again that the complement V metric preserving.

- Let $X_t(x) \equiv X_t^x$ be the sub-Riemannian Brownian motion with generator L such that $X_0(x) = x$ and

$$dB_t^x = //_t^{-1} \circ dX_t(x), \quad B_0 = 0 \in H_x$$

Recall that B_t^x is a standard Brownian motion in H_x .

- Let $X_t(x) \equiv X_t^x$ be the sub-Riemannian Brownian motion with generator L such that $X_0(x) = x$ and

$$dB_t^x = //_t^{-1} \circ dX_t(x), \quad B_0 = 0 \in H_x$$

Recall that B_t^x is a standard Brownian motion in H_x .

- (Cameron-Martin space) Let

$$\mathbb{H} = \left\{ h: [0, T] \rightarrow H_x \text{ abs. cont.} \mid \int_0^T |\dot{h}(t)|_{g_H}^2 dt < \infty \right\}$$

which becomes a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^T \langle \dot{h}_1(t), \dot{h}_2(t) \rangle_{g_H} dt.$$

- Let $X_t(x) \equiv X_t^x$ be the sub-Riemannian Brownian motion with generator L such that $X_0(x) = x$ and

$$dB_t^x = //_t^{-1} \circ dX_t(x), \quad B_0 = 0 \in H_x$$

Recall that B_t^x is a standard Brownian motion in H_x .

- (Cameron-Martin space) Let

$$\mathbb{H} = \left\{ h: [0, T] \rightarrow H_x \text{ abs. cont. } \left| \int_0^T |\dot{h}(t)|_{g_H}^2 dt < \infty \right. \right\}$$

which becomes a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^T \langle \dot{h}_1(t), \dot{h}_2(t) \rangle_{g_H} dt.$$

As usual, we write $\langle h, B^x \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^t \langle \dot{h}_s, dB_s^x \rangle_{g_H}$.

Derivatives on path space of sub-Riemannian manifolds

- For fixed $T > 0$, let $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on W^T .

Derivatives on path space of sub-Riemannian manifolds

- For fixed $T > 0$, let $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on W^T .

- Let the operator $A_t : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$ be given by

$$A_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_{//_t}(\circ dB_t^X, \cdot)$$

(Note that $A_t(H_x) \subseteq V_x$ and $A_t(V_x) = 0$)

- For an adapted process h with paths in \mathbb{H} let

$$\begin{aligned} S(h)_t &= h_t + \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_{//_s}(\circ dB_s^X, h_s) \\ &= h_t + \int_0^t dA_s h_s = \int_0^t (\text{id} + A_t + A_s) dh_s. \end{aligned}$$

- (Derivative operator on path space) For $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$ and $h \in \mathbb{H}$, let

$$D_h F(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle //_{t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}), S(h)_{t_i} \rangle$$

- **(Derivative operator on path space)** For $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$ and $h \in \mathbb{H}$, let

$$D_h F(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle //_{t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}), S(h)_{t_i} \rangle$$

- **Motivation** For any horizontal curve γ on M (starting from x) with anti-development $u = \text{Dev}^{-1}(\gamma)$ in \mathbb{H} , we have that

$$\left\{ \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \text{Dev}(u + \varepsilon k) : k \in \mathbb{H} \right\} = \{ D_h|_\gamma : h \in \mathbb{H} \}$$

where the vector field D_h on path space is defined by

$$D_h|_\gamma = //_t^{-1} \left(h_t + \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_{//_s} (du_s, h_s) \right) = //_t^{-1} \left(h_t + \int_0^t dA_s h_s \right)$$

with $A_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_{//_s} (du_s, h_s)$.

- Define $D_t F \in H_x$ such that

$$D_h F = \int_0^t \langle D_t F, \dot{h}_t \rangle_{g_H} dt.$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$D_t F := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} \#^H(\text{id} + A_{t_i} - A_t)^* //_{t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}).$$

- The *gradient* DF is then given by the relation

$$\langle DF, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = D_h F$$

Proposition (Integration by parts formula)

- For $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$ and any adapted process h_t with paths in \mathbb{H} , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle DF, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}] = \mathbb{E} \left[F \int_0^T \langle \dot{h}_t + \text{Ric}_{//_t} h_t, dB_t \rangle_{g_H} \right].$$

- In particular, for $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle //_t^{-1} df_{X_t(x)}, \mathbf{S}(h)_t \rangle] = \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_t(x)) \int_0^t \langle \dot{h}_s + \text{Ric}_{//_s} h_s, dB_s \rangle_{g_H} \right].$$

Damped gradients and Quasi-invariance

- For $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$, define

$$\tilde{D}_t F(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} \#^H // t^{-1} \hat{Q}_{t,t_i}^* // \hat{\Gamma}_{t,t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_h F = \langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^T \tilde{D}_t F dh_t$$

Damped gradients and Quasi-invariance

- For $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$, define

$$\tilde{D}_t F(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{t \leq t_i\}} \#^H //_{t_i}^{-1} \hat{Q}_{t,t_i}^* / \hat{I}_{t,t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_h F = \langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^T \tilde{D}_t F dh_t$$

- For adapted process h with paths in \mathbb{H} one has

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}] = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{F(X_{[0,T]}^\varepsilon) - F(X_{[0,T]})}{\varepsilon} \right]$$

where

$$dX_t^\varepsilon = //_{t_i}^\varepsilon \circ dB_t + \varepsilon //_{t_i}^\varepsilon dh_t, \quad X_0^\varepsilon = x$$

- Let $Q_t : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$ be the solution of

$$Q_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M}, \quad dQ_t = -\text{Ric}_{//t} Q_t dt$$

- Let $Q_t : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$ be the solution of

$$Q_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M}, \quad dQ_t = -\text{Ric}_{//t} Q_t dt$$

- For any adapted process h_t with paths in \mathbb{H} , we then have

$$\langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle DF, k \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, \quad k_t = Q_t \int_0^t Q_s^{-1} dh_s$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}] = \mathbb{E} \left[F \int_0^T \langle h, B^x \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \right]$$

V. Ricci curvature bounds in sub-Riemannian geometry

- (Derivative formula on path space)

For $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$D_t \mathbb{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{D}_t F | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

V. Ricci curvature bounds in sub-Riemannian geometry

- (Derivative formula on path space)

For $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$D_t \mathbb{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{D}_t F | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

- (Semigroup derivative formula)

$$dP_t f(v) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle //_t^{-1} df_{X_t(x)}, Q_t v + \int_0^t dA_r Q_r v \right\rangle \right], \quad v \in T_x M.$$

Theorem (Characterization of horizontal Ricci curvature)

Assume that V is metric preserving. For a non-negative constant K the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 (Bounded Ricci curvature) the horizontal Ricci curvature $Ric^H = Ric|_H \in \text{End}(H)$ is bounded by K , i.e.

$$-K \leq Ric^H \leq K$$

- 2 (Gradient estimate) for any $F \in \mathcal{F} C_0^\infty$,

$$|D_0 \mathbb{E}_x[F]|_{g_H} \leq \mathbb{E}_x \left[|D_0 F|_{g_H} + K \int_0^T e^{Ks} |D_s F|_{g_H} ds \right]$$

- 3 (L^2 gradient estimate) for any $F \in \mathcal{F} C_0^\infty$,

$$|D_0 \mathbb{E}_x[F]|_{g_H}^2 \leq e^{-KT} \mathbb{E}_x \left[|D_0 F|_{g_H}^2 + K \int_0^T e^{Ks} |D_s F|_{g_H}^2 ds \right]$$

Theorem (continuation)

- iii (Log-Sobolev inequality) for any $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ and $t > 0$ in $[0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{E}_x [F^2 | \mathcal{F}_t] \log \mathbb{E}_x [F^2 | \mathcal{F}_t] \right] - \mathbb{E}_x [F^2] \log \mathbb{E}_x [F^2] \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^t e^{K(T-r)} \left(\mathbb{E}_x |D_r F|_{g_H}^2 + \frac{K}{2} \int_r^T e^{K(s-r)} \mathbb{E}_x |D_s F|_{g_H}^2 ds \right) dr; \end{aligned}$$

- iv (Poincaré inequality) for any $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ and $t > 0$ in $[0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{E}_x [F | \mathcal{F}_t]^2 \right] - \mathbb{E}_x [F]^2 \\ & \leq \int_0^t e^{K(T-r)} \left(\mathbb{E}_x |D_r F|_{g_H}^2 + \frac{K}{2} \int_r^T e^{K(s-r)} \mathbb{E}_x |D_s F|_{g_H}^2 ds \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

- For non-symmetric bounds, i.e. $K_1 \leq \text{Ric}^H \leq K_2$, one can give similar equivalent conditions redefining $\bar{D}_t F$ by

$$\bar{D}_t F = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} e^{-\frac{K_1+K_2}{2}(t_i-t)} \#^H (\text{id} + A_{t_i} - A_t)^* //_{t_i}^{-1} d_i F$$

- (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator)

For $F, G \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ let

$$\mathcal{E}(F, G) = \mathbb{E} \langle DF, DG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \langle D_t F, D_t G \rangle_{g_H} dt \right].$$

Integration by parts formula implies the closability of the form.

- For non-symmetric bounds, i.e. $K_1 \leq \text{Ric}^H \leq K_2$, one can give similar equivalent conditions redefining $\bar{D}_t F$ by

$$\bar{D}_t F = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} e^{-\frac{K_1+K_2}{2}(t_i-t)} \#^H (\text{id} + A_{t_i} - A_t)^* //_{t_i}^{-1} d_i F$$

- (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator)

For $F, G \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ let

$$\mathcal{E}(F, G) = \mathbb{E} \langle DF, DG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \langle D_t F, D_t G \rangle_{g_H} dt \right].$$

Integration by parts formula implies the closability of the form.

- Let \mathcal{L} be the generator of the the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |D_t F|_{g_H}^2 dt \right].$$

Let $\text{gap}(\mathcal{L})$ denote its spectral gap.

Theorem Suppose there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that

$$|\text{Ric}^H| \leq K.$$

Then

(i) (Poincaré inequality) for any $F \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathbb{E}[F] = 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[F^2] \leq \frac{1}{2}(e^{KT} + 1) \mathcal{E}(F, F)$$

(ii) (Log-Sobolev inequality) for any $F \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathbb{E}[F^2] = 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[F^2 \log F^2] \leq (e^{KT} + 1) \mathcal{E}(F, F)$$

(iii) (Spectral gap estimate) the following estimate holds:

$$\text{gap}(\mathcal{L})^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}(e^{KT} + 1)$$

References



F. Baudoin and N. Garofalo, Curvature-dimension inequalities and Ricci lower bounds for sub-Riemannian manifolds with transverse symmetries. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **19** (2017) 151–219.



F. Baudoin, M. Bonnefont, and N. Garofalo, A sub-Riemannian curvature- dimension inequality, volume doubling property and the Poincaré inequality. *Math. Ann.* **358** (2014) 833–860.



E. Grong and A. Thalmaier, Curvature-dimension inequalities on sub-Riemannian manifolds obtained from Riemannian foliations: part I. *Math. Z.* **282** (2016) 99–130; part II. *Math. Z.* **282** (2016) 131–164.



Hong-Quan Li, Estimation optimale du gradient du semi-groupe de la chaleur sur le groupe de Heisenberg. *J. Funct. Anal.* **236** (2006) 369–394.



B. K. Driver and T. Melcher, Hypoelliptic heat kernel inequalities on the Heisenberg group. *J. Funct. Anal.* **221** (2005) 340–365.



A. Naber, *Characterizations of bounded Ricci curvature on smooth and nonsmooth spaces*, arXiv:1306.6512v4 (2015).



R. Haslhofer and A. Naber, Characterizations of the Ricci flow. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **20** (2018) 1269–1302.

-  M.-K. von Renesse and K.-T. Sturm, Transport inequalities, gradient estimates, entropy, and Ricci curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **58** (2005) 923–940.
-  S. Fang and B. Wu, Remarks on spectral gaps on the Riemannian path space. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **22** (2017) Paper No. 19, 13pp.
-  L.-J. Cheng and A. Thalmaier, Characterization of pinched Ricci curvature by functional inequalities. *J. Geom. Anal.* **28** (2018) 2312–2345.
-  L.-J. Cheng and A. Thalmaier, Spectral gap on Riemannian path space over static and evolving manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.* **274** (2018) 959–984.
-  F.-Y. Wang and B. Wu, Pointwise characterizations of curvature and second fundamental form on Riemannian manifolds. *Science China. Mathematics* **61** (2018) 1407–1420.
-  F. Baudoin, Q. Feng, and M. Gordina, Integration by parts and quasi-invariance for the horizontal Wiener measure on foliated compact manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.* **277** (2019) 1362–1422.
-  L.-J. Cheng, E. Grong, A. Thalmaier, Functional inequalities on path space of sub-Riemannian manifolds and applications. arXiv:1912.03575 (2020).